

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/005,399	12/05/2001	David E. Petersen	120362(624226-322)	9413
29391	7590 11/18/2	003	EXAM	INER
	ROWNLEE WOL	NGUYEN, HUNG T		
390 NORTH SUITE 2500	I ORANGE AVENU	E	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ORLANDO	ORLANDO, FL 32801			
				<u>ا</u>

DATE MAILED: 11/18/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/005,399	PETERSEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAN INC DATE of this assumption	Hung T. Nguyen	2636				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute,  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Se	eptember 2003.					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This a	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 3-14 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 3-14 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11 Sec. 55 119 and 120	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.</li> <li>37 CFR 1.78.</li> <li>a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  S Patent and Trademark Office	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2636

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 10-12 & 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Orschek (U.S. 5,394,137).

Regarding claim 10, Orschek discloses a device for detecting the position of rail vehicle hand brake (10) [ figs.1-3, col.2, lines 4-12, col.3, lines 23-51 and col.5, line 43 to col.6, line 6 ] comprising:

- a circuit includes detector (50a,50b) as a switch for detecting a brake is not fully released [ figs.1-3, col.3, line 23 to col.4, line 8];
- an alarm signal (54,56) is activated to inform an operator cab (14) that the brake is not fully released [figs.1-3, col.2, lines 4-12, col.3, line 65 to col.4, line 18 and col.5, line 43 to col.6, line 6];
- a hand brake position (42) alarm responsive to the alarm signal (30,54,56) [ figs.1-3, col.3, line 23 to col.4, line 18 ];
- a cable or chain linkage can be used for actuating a parking brake by hand [col.1, lines 11-24].

Art Unit: 2636

Orschek does not specifically mention a load path for supporting a weight of the drive chain by passing the switch as claimed by the applicant.

However, Orschek clearly discloses the parking brake detection (42) and warning system (30) which are couple to an electronic controller (52) may provide audible (56) and visual warning indicators (54) substantially prevents an operator of a rail vehicle from unnecessarily wearing down the parking brake system for locomotives at all time operating [ figs.1-3, col.2, lines 4-12, col.3, lines 23-51 and col.5, line 43 to col.6, line 6]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have the system of Orschek for detecting / providing a hand brake position alarm at all time operating to the railway operator before a train is moved with a hand brake not fully released.

Regarding claim 11-12, Orschek does not specifically disclose the hand brake detection further comprises an anchor bracket, pivot arm as mechanically components are applied in the parking brake system.

However, Orschek clearly discloses the parking brake detection (42) and warning system (30) which are couple to an electronic controller (52) may provide audible (56) and visual warning indicators (54) substantially prevents an operator of a rail vehicle from unnecessarily wearing down the parking brake system for locomotives at all time operating [ figs.1-3, col.2, lines 4-12, col.3, lines 23-51 and col.5, line 43 to col.6, line 6 ]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have the system of Orschek for detecting / providing a hand brake position alarm at all time operating to the railway operator before a train is moved with a hand brake not fully released.

Art Unit: 2636

Regarding claim 14, Orschek discloses a device for detecting the position of rail vehicle hand brake (10) [ figs.1-3, col.2, lines 4-12, col.3, lines 23-51 and col.5, line 43 to col.6, line 6 ] comprising:

- a circuit includes detector (50a,50b) as a switch for detecting a brake is not fully released [figs.1-3, col.3, line 23 to col.4, line 8];
- an alarm signal (54,56) is activated to inform an operator cab (14) that the brake is not fully released [figs.1-3, col.2, lines 4-12, col.3, line 65 to col.4, line 18 and col.5, line 43 to col.6, line 6];
- a hand brake position (42) alarm responsive to the alarm signal (30,54,56) [ figs.1-3, col.3, line 23 to col.4, line 18];
- a cable or chain linkage can be used for actuating a parking brake by hand [ col.1, lines 11-24 ].

Orschek does not specifically mention a mechanism connecting the switch and the drive chain without supporting a weight of the drive chain through the switch as claimed by the applicant .

However, Orschek clearly discloses the parking brake detection (42) and warning system (30) which are couple to an electronic controller (52) may provide audible (56) and visual warning indicators (54) substantially prevents an operator of a rail vehicle from unnecessarily wearing down the parking brake system for locomotives at all time operating [ figs.1-3, col.2, lines 4-12, col.3, lines 23-51 and col.5, line 43 to col.6, line 6]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have the system of Orschek for detecting / providing a hand brake position alarm at all time operating to the railway operator before a train is moved with a hand brake not fully released.

Art Unit: 2636

3. Claims 3-5 & 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Orschek (U.S. 5,394,137) in view of Hosaka et al (U.S. 4,962,570).

Regarding claim 3, Orschek discloses a hand brake alarm apparatus (10) includes a plurality of trucks (16a,16b) as locomotives [figs.1-3, col.3, lines 11-22 and col.5, line 43 to col.6, line 6] comprising:

- a detector (50a,50b) for detecting a brake is not fully released / indicative of a hand brake of thr locomotive being engaged [ figs.1-3, col.3, line 23 to col.4, line 8 and col.5, line 43 to col.6, line 6 ];
- an alarm signal (54,56) is activated to inform an operator cab (14) that the brake is not fully released // indicative of a hand brake of thr locomotive being engaged [figs.1-3, col.2, col.3, line 65 to col.4, line 18 and col.5, line 43 to col.6, line 6];
- a controller (52) includes a memory device (58) for monitoring / controlling the hand brake alarm signal (54) [ figs.2-3, col.4, lines 1-23 ].

Orschek does not specifically mention the apparatus includes wheel slip circuit and a reverser detection device in non-neutral position for preventing the slip from occurring and providing a reversed position signals to the train operator.

Hosaka teaches a technique of using sensors (42,43) and a control unit (100) for controlling / determining the presence of a slip or the transmission is in reverse gear position at step (4130) in non-neutral position is inherently [figs.13-14, col.6, line 67 to col.7, line 15, col.25, line 45 to col.6, line 11 and abstract]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have the teaching of Hosaka in the system of Orschek for detecting / monitoring the wheel slipping and reverser position status as desired.

Art Unit: 2636

Regarding claim 4, Orschek discloses a hand brake alarm apparatus (10) comprises a plurality of trucks (16a,16b) as locomotives may consider a master locomotive and slave locomotives for communicating [ figs.1-3, col.3, lines 11-22 and col.5, line 43 to col.6, line 6 ] comprising:

- a detector (50a,50b) for detecting a brake is not fully released [figs.1-3, col.3, line 23 to col.4, line 8 and col.5, line 43 to col.6, line 6];
- an alarm signal (54,56) is activated to inform an operator cab (14) that the brake is not fully released [figs.1-3, col.2, col.3, line 65 to col.4, line 18 and col.5, line 43 to col.6, line 6];
- a controller (52) includes a memory device (58) for monitoring / controlling the hand brake alarm signal (54) [ figs.2-3, col.4, lines 1-23 ].

Orschek does not specifically mention the apparatus includes wheel slip circuit and a reserve detection device for preventing the slip from occurring and providing a reverser position signals to the train operator.

Hosaka teaches a technique of using sensors (42,43) and a control unit (100) for controlling / determining the presence of a slip or the transmission is in reverse gear position at step (4130) [ figs.13-14, col.6, line 67 to col.7, line 15, col.25, line 45 to col.6, line 11 and abstract ]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to utilize the teaching of Hosaka in the system of Orschek for detecting / monitoring the wheel slipping and reverser position status.

Regarding claim 5, Orschek discloses a hand brake alarm apparatus (10) comprises a plurality of trucks (16a,16b) as locomotives may consider a master locomotive and slave locomotives for communicating [ figs.1-3, col.3, lines 11-22 and col.5, line 43 to col.6, line 6 ] comprising:

- a detector (50a,50b) for detecting a brake is not fully released [figs.1-3, col.3, line 23 to col.4, line 8 and col.5, line 43 to col.6, line 6];

Art Unit: 2636

- an alarm signal (54,56) / alarm circuit is activated to inform an operator cab (14) that the brake is not fully released [ figs.1-3, col.2, col.3, line 65 to col.4, line 18 and col.5, line 43 to col.6, line 6];

- a controller (52) includes a memory device (58) for monitoring / controlling the hand brake alarm signal (54) / alarm circuit [ figs.2-3, col.4, lines 1-23 ].

Orschek does not specifically mention the apparatus includes wheel slip circuit and a reserve detection device for preventing the slip from occurring and providing a reverser position signals to the train operator.

Hosaka teaches a technique of using sensors (42,43) and a control unit (100) for controlling / determining the presence of a slip or the transmission is in reverse gear position at step (4130) [ figs.13-14, col.6, line 67 to col.7, line 15, col.25, line 45 to col.6, line 11 and abstract ]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to utilize the teaching of Hosaka in the system of Orschek for detecting / monitoring the wheel slipping or reverser position status.

Regarding claim 9, Orschek discloses a hand brake alarm apparatus (10) comprises a plurality of trucks (16a,16b) may consider a multiple of locomotives [figs.1-3, col.3, lines 11-22 and col.5, line 43 to col.6, line 6] comprising:

- a detector (50a,50b) for detecting a brake is not fully released [ figs.1-3, col.3, line 23 to col.4, line 8 and col.5, line 43 to col.6, line 6 ];
- an alarm signal (54,56) is activated to inform an operator cab (14) that the brake is not fully released [figs.1-3, col.3, line 65 to col.4, line 18 and col.5, line 43 to col.6, line 6];
- a controller (52) includes a memory device (58) for monitoring / controlling the hand brake alarm signal (54) [ figs.2-3, col.4, lines 1-23 ].

Art Unit: 2636

Orschek does not specifically mention the apparatus includes wheel slip circuit and a reserve detection device for preventing the slip from occurring and providing a reverser position signals to the train operator.

Hosaka teaches a technique of using sensors (42,43) and a control unit (100) for controlling / determining the presence of a slip or the transmission is in reverse gear position at step (4130) regardless the speed signal [ figs.13-14, col.6, line 67 to col.7, line 15, col.25, line 45 to col.6, line 11 and abstract ]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to utilize the teaching of Hosaka in the system of Orschek for detecting / monitoring the wheel slipping and reverser position status.

4. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Orschek (U.S. 5,394,137) in view of MacDonnell et al. (U.S. 3,854,417).

Regarding claim 6, Orschek discloses a method of alarming a hand brake of a rail vehicle (10) [ figs.1-3, col.2, lines 4-12, col.3, lines 23-51 and col.5, line 43 to col.6, line 6 ] comprising:

- a detector (50a,50b) for detecting a brake is not fully released [figs.1-3, col.3, line 23 to col.4, line 8 and col.5, line 43 to col.6, line 6];
- an alarm signal (54,56) is activated to inform an operator cab (14) that the brake is not fully released [figs.1-3, col.2, col.3, line 65 to col.4, line 18 and col.5, line 43 to col.6, line 6].

Orschek does not specifically disclose the alarm is activated before the rail vehicle is moved with the hand brake engaged.

MacDonnell discloses an automatic visual hand brake system is used in a train of car will be turned on whenever the hand brake is engaged [figs.1-2, col.1, lines 22-40 and abstract].

Art Unit: 2636

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have the teaching of MacDonnell in the system of Orschek for immediately detecting / monitoring the hand brake is engaged before the vehicle is moved.

5. Claims 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Orschek (U.S. 5,394,137) in view of MacDonnell et al. (U.S. 3,854,417) further in view of Hosaka et al (U.S. 4,962,570).

Regarding claims 7-8, Orschek discloses a hand brake alarm apparatus (10) includes a plurality of trucks (16a,16b) as locomotives [ figs.1-3, col.3, lines 11-22 and col.5, line 43 to col.6, line 6 ] comprising:

- a detector (50a,50b) for detecting a brake is not fully released [figs.1-3, col.3, line 23 to col.4, line 8 and col.5, line 43 to col.6, line 6];
- an alarm signal (54,56) is activated to inform an operator cab (14) that the brake is not fully released [figs.1-3, col.2, col.3, line 65 to col.4, line 18 and col.5, line 43 to col.6, line 6].

Orschek & MacDonnell do not specifically mention the apparatus includes wheel slip circuit for monitoring sliding motion of the wheels as to prevent the slip from occurring and to notify that problem to the train operator.

Hosaka teaches a technique of using sensors (42,43) for determining the presence of a slip and generating a slip indicative signal [figs.13-14, col.6, line 67 to col.7, line 15, col.25, lines 45-67 and abstract]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to employ the teaching of MacDonnell & Hosaka in the system of Orschek for producing a wheel slippage indicative signal when wheel slip is detected.

Art Unit: 2636

6. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Orschek (U.S. 5,394,137) in view of Hosaka et al (U.S. 4,962,570) further in view of Hoover (U.S. 4,161,717).

Regarding claim 13, Orschek discloses a hand brake alarm apparatus (10) includes a plurality of trucks (16a,16b) as locomotives [figs.1-3, col.3, lines 11-22 and col.5, line 43 to col.6, line 6] comprising:

- a detector (50a,50b) for detecting a brake is not fully released [figs.1-3, col.3, line 23 to col.4, line 8 and col.5, line 43 to col.6, line 6];
- an alarm signal (54,56) is activated to inform an operator cab (14) that the brake is not fully released [figs.1-3, col.2, col.3, line 65 to col.4, line 18 and col.5, line 43 to col.6, line 6];
- a controller (52) includes a memory device (58) for monitoring / controlling the hand brake alarm signal (54) [ figs.2-3, col.4, lines 1-23 ].

Orschek does not specifically mention the detection device includes a locked axle indicator and a reverser detection device for preventing the slip from occurring and providing a reversed position signals to the train operator.

Hosaka teaches a technique of using sensors (42,43) and a control unit (100) / logic device for controlling / determining the presence of a slip or the transmission is in reverse gear position at step (4130) [ figs.13-14, col.6, line 67 to col.7, line 15, col.25, line 45 to col.6, line 11 and abstract ]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have the teaching of Hosaka in the system of Orschek for detecting / monitoring the wheel slipping or reverser position status.

The combination of Orschek and Hosaka is still missing the circuit includes a locked axle indicator for providing a warning signal if the sensed speed of one and only one of the axles is less than a predetermined low threshold level.

Page 11

Hoover teaches a locked axle detector for monitoring speed sensors (21-24) whenever the

vehicle is moving at a speed less than a predetermined low threshold level [col.1, lines 25-44

and col.5, lines 58-64]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the

art to employ the teaching of Hosaka and Hoover includes a locked axle indicator in the system

of Orschek for providing a warning signal if the sensed speed of one and only one of the axles is

less than a predetermined low threshold level.

**Response to Arguments** 

7. Applicant's amendment filed on Sept. 26, 2003 have been fully considered but are moot

in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Hung T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-6796.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:00am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Hofsass, Jeffery can be reached on (703) 305-4717. The fax phone number for this

Group is (703) 872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Examiner: Hung T. Nguyen

Date:

Nov. 15, 2003